	Technology	Technology	Cognitive	Development			
Study	name	type	target	stage	Participants	Primary outcome	Result
Smartphone A	Applications					·	
						Comparison of	
						performance on app	Scores on CST significantly positively
						with scores on	correlated with global cognition (as
					57 healthy	standard	measured by MMSE), processing
					older adults.	assessments of	speed and attention (as measured by
	Colour-				Age: mean	cognitive processing	digit span, trail making and digit-
Brouillette et	Shape Test	Smartphone	Processing	Proof-of-	67.18 years	speed and cognitive	symbol test). Test-retest reliability was
al. (2013)	(CST)	application	speed	concept	(SD ± 1.02)	function.	significant.
							73% of reminder alerts were
							acknowledged (within a mean
					9 healthy		response time of 12.38 seconds),
	Technology				younger		despite 80% of reminders interrupting
	Adoption				adults. Age:		the participant during another activity.
Hartin et al.	and Usage	Smartphone	Prospective	Proof-of-	median 27	Adherence to	Reminders for all ADL categories
(2014)	Tool (TAUT)	application	memory	concept	years	reminder alerts	available were used.
					12 older adults		
					without		
					moderate-		On average participants rated the app
					severe		positively (60% or higher). A
	Modified				cognitive	Feedback on the	preference for challenge, speed and
	Attention				impairment.	usability and	variety was demonstrated. Main
	Training				Age: mean 79	acceptability of the	limitations included: lack of
Hill et al.	Application	Tablet		Proof-of-	years (SD ±	app for attention	engagement, technical difficulties and
(2017)	(ATA)	application	Attention	concept	4.2)	training.	confusion regarding use.

Table 1. Digital technology index: Summary of studies included in clinical review.

Jongstra et al. (2017)	iVitality	Smartphone application	Working & short-term memory, attention, executive function	Proof-of- concept	151 healthy older adults with parental history of dementia. Age: mean 57.3 years (SD ± 5.3)	Feasibility and validity of smartphone-based neuropsychological tests to assess various aspects of cognitive function.	The app version of the Stroop and TMT moderately correlated with performance on the conventional test versions. Performance on the app Stroop and TMT reversed alphanumeric tasks improved with repeated testing. Mean adherence to assigned smartphone tests was 60% at 6 months.
Oh et al (2017)	Smartphone- based brain Anti-Ageing and memory Reinforceme nt Training (SMART) and FitBrains©	Smartphone applications	Attention, working memory and executive function	Validation	53 older adults with subjective memory complaints. Age: mean 59.3 years (SD ± 5.09)	Subjective and objective improvements in memory (as measured with standard questionnaires) post- app use.	Total and auditory-verbal working memory scores increased significantly in the SMART group compared to both control groups. However, self- reported memory contentment only significantly improved in the FitBrains group.
Shellington et al. (2017)	Health <i>e</i> Brain	Smartphone application	Visuospatial memory	Proof-of- concept	19 healthy or mildly cognitively impaired older adults. Age: mean 68.3 years (SD ± 5.4)	Feedback on the usability of the app to deliver exercise- based, visuospatial tasks outside the lab.	19/95 contacted participants successfully downloaded the app and completed the survey. 60% of participants found the app easy to use, or similar to previous experiences. 9 participants said they would continue to use the app in the future.
Tieges et al. (2015)	DelApp	Smartphone application	Visual sustained attention	Proof-of- concept	156 older hospital inpatients (50 with delirium, 52 with dementia and 54 with no cognitive	Ability of smartphone based test of visual sustained attention to reliably differentiate patients with delirium from those with dementia.	DelApp scores differed significantly between all 3 groups (delirium <dementia<controls). roc<br="">analyses revealed excellent accuracy of the DelApp for discriminating delirium from dementia (AUC = 0.93), and delirium from controls (AUC = 0.99).</dementia<controls).>

					impairment). Age: IQR 70-91 years		
Wearables							
Ahanathapillai et al. (2015)	Wrist Wearable Unit (WWU)	Smartwatch system	Activities of daily living	Proof-of- concept	Healthy younger adults. Age: range 20-50 years	Ability of system to detect and record activity related measures in order to infer behavioural patterns.	Activity level calculations from long term device usage, correlated with participants' self-reported activity levels.
Boletsis et al. (2015)	Basis B1 Smartwatch	Smartwatch system	Activities of daily living	Proof-of- concept	1 patient with advanced dementia and 1 professional caregiver	Feasibility and validity of smartwatch-based measures to assess daily activity function.	Caregiver able to extract useful information about patterns in patient's behaviour (sleep, exertion) and changes to this pattern. Issue with steps not registering when patient used a walking frame support.
Browne et al. (2011)	SenseCam	Wearable camera system	Declarative memory	Proof-of- concept	1 adult with mild cognitive impairment. Age: 56 years	Efficacy of camera system to aid recall of significant personal events.	The proportion of events recalled was significantly higher over 2 weeks when using the SenseCam review (68%), than when relying on a diary (38%) or not actively cueing memory (30%). At 6 months this difference in recall between groups was still present.
Cachia et al. (2014)	WanderRep	Smartwatch system	Wandering	Proof-of- concept	1 patient with dementia and 5 professional caregivers	Ability of system to differentiate dangerous wandering scenarios from normal	WanderRep had a 78% sensitivity to detect pre-determined dangerous scenarios based on temperature, activity level, location and time.

						movement and activity.	
Firouzian et al. (2015)	Indicator- based Smart Glasses	Smart glasses	Navigation	Design	Not specified	Usability of smart glasses system to provide visual, navigational cues.	The most distinguishable positions, frequency and brightness of LED lights for forming visual cues were determined. Need to develop and pilot a user-friendly interface and more lightweight device.
Matthews et		Wearable camera	Activities of	Proof-of-	18 people with dementia (age: mean 78.6 years, SD ± 9.1) and their primary caregivers (age: mean 63.7 years, SD	Ability of the system to capture episodes of dementia-related behaviour or	A total of 341 hours of usable video was obtained, yielding capture of 248
al. (2016)		system	daily living	concept	± 14.0) 13 healthy	caregiving interactions. Ability of system to	salient events.
Netscher (2015)	Max	Smartwatch system	Activities of daily living	Proof-of- concept	participants from the Dementia Care Ecosystem study	neasure users' routine behavioural patterns and detect outliers or declining trends.	Room detection accuracy 96.1% ± 2.6%. Reliable behaviour modelling possible with missing data i.e. when the user forgot/chose not to wear the device.
Shin et al. (2014)	u-Healthcare	Smartwatch system	Activities of daily living	Proof-of- concept	8 participants	Ability of the system to monitor users' location, physical activity and sun exposure.	The system had on average a 94.7% accuracy in detecting steps. User profiles of activity were then produced based on steps, demographic information, GPS information and light sensor data.

					274	Efficacy of	
					community-	objectively	Light and moderate physical activity as
					dwelling older	measured levels of	measured by the accelerometer were
					adults. Age:	physical activity to	both significantly associated with a
		Wearable			mean 74.52	predict cognitive	reduced rate of cognitive decline over
Stubbs et al.		acceleromet	Activities of		years (SD ±	stability in older	2 years, with light physical activity
(2017)		er system	daily living	Validated	6.12)	adults.	associated independently.
(2017)		ci system		Fandatea	0.12)	Usability of	
						integrated wearable	
						and smartphone	
		Smartphone			5 patients with	system to assist	Scheduling, communication and
		applications			dementia and	people with	orientation tasks had a 100%
		and			their spouses.	dementia with	completion rate, but navigation/
Thorpe et al.		smartwatch	Activities of	Proof-of-	Age: range 61	completion of daily	emergency help tasks had a 0%
(2016)		system	daily living	concept	to 73 years.	activities.	completion rate.
Smart Homes		System	uany inving	concept	to 75 years.	activities.	
Smarthomes							May provide more independence for
							users, be an economical alternative to
	Technology						24 hour care, and able to detect subtle
	Assisted						changes in behaviour. However it
	Friendly					Investigating the	could be considered intrusive, be
	Environment					benefits and	rejected by users uncomfortable with
Arcelus et al.	for the Third		Activities of		No formal	limitation of the	technology or users may develop a
(2007)	age (TEFETA)	Smart home	daily living	Design	data collection	design.	false dependency on the technology.
(2007)	age (ILFLIA)	Smarthome		Design		uesign.	Core reason for use was to enhance
					24 care staff,		resident safety and freedom,
					age: mean 39.75; 3		outweighing ethical concerns;
						Evalore focilitatore	technology was perceived as simple to
					residents with	Explore facilitators	use, but staff wanted more formal
					dementia, age:	and barriers to the	training. Frequent alarms were
			A		mean 81.33; 9	implementation of	generate and staff had to rely on
Hall et al.			Activities of		relatives, age:	monitoring	contextual knowledge to decide when
(2017)		Smart home	daily living	Validation	mean 55.67	technology.	to respond.

Stravropoulos et al., (2016)	DemaWare2	Smart home data modelling framework	Activities of daily living	Validation	98 AD, MCI or control participants in lab environment (age: range 60–90); 2 amnesic and 2 dementia in residential environment	Evaluation of DemaWare2 modelling in recognising ADL in laboratory and residential environments.	Activity recognition recall and precision close to 82% in lab- environment and 83% and 76% (respectively) in residential environment for most ADL; however, recognition varies depending on ADL. Can differentiate cognitively healthy, MCI and AD patients with up to 84% accuracy. High user acceptability.
	M2M						
	(Machine-to- Machine)/	Smart home					Accuracy rate in determining behaviour was between 80-100% for
	loT (Internet	data				Evaluation of	most activities but was lower (30-40%
Ishii et al.	of Things)	modelling	Activities of		Pseudo	modelling platform	accuracy) for forgetting to turn off the
(2016)	platform	framework	daily living	Validation	patient data	in recognising ADL.	TV.
						Evaluating modelling	A correlation (r=.54) between the
					16 dementia	framework in making	direct observation scores and
					patients, 51	ADL performance	predicted activity quality when
					MCI and 196	predictions	combining scores from all 8 activities;
					cognitively	compared to clinical	individual activity correlations varied.
		Smart home			healthy	observations and	Reasonable classification accuracy in
		data			participants	capability of	classifying participants into groups:
Dawadi et al.		modelling	Activities of		grouped in 4	dementia status	dementia and cognitively healthy but
(2013)		framework	daily living	Validation	age ranges	predictions.	not MCI.
					480 smart		ADL results for sleep, computer use,
					homes; Users		medication adherence, movement,
	Oregon				over the age		social engagement and a multi-
	Center for				of 70,		domain approach have been used to
	Aging and				MMSE>24,		predict outcomes such as low mood,
Lyons et al.	Technology		Activities of	Longitudinal	CDR <0.5, on	Presenting results	loneliness, and cognitive function;
(2015)	(ORCATECH)	Smart Home	daily living	cohort study	enrolment.	from the last 8 years.	potential shown to improve quality of

							patient data related to cognitive decline.
	Oregon Center for Aging and				38 MCI patients, age: mean 84.6 (SD ± 4.8), 75 cognitively healthy older adults, age:	Assessing ability of unobtrusive smart home to monitor computer use, detect mild	No difference in computer use at baseline, but years 2 and 3 showed significant decrease in number of days with use, mean daily use and increase in day-to-day variability in computer use for MCI compared to healthy
Kaye et al. (2014)	Technology (ORCATECH)	Smart Home	Activity of daily living	Longitudinal cohort study	mean 84.6 (SD ± 4.3)	functional changes and identify MCI.	participants; indicating computer use can differentiate individuals with MCI.

Activities of daily living (ADL), Alzheimer's Disease (AD), Area under the curve (AUC), Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR), Colour-Shape Test (CST), Global positioning system (GPS), Interquartile range (IQR), Light emitting diode (LED), Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), Mini–Mental State Examination (MMSE), Receiver operating characteristic (ROC), Smartphone-based brain Anti-Ageing and memory Reinforcement Training (SMART), Standard Deviation (SD), Trail Making Tests (TMT).